(highwire.stanford.edu), where seventy of the highest cited journals have been archived since 1948 lists Darwin's "natural selection" in 271 titles, almost all experimental, whereas "intelligent design" appears in the title of but a single effort, a review published in the *Journal of Theological Studies*.⁴ Alas, ID loses out to another system of alternative science: "Mesmer" or "Mesmerism" appears in twenty titles

devoid of experimental promise. Dr. Davis asks for open discussion: I ask for peer-reviewed evidence of creationism under another name.


References

- 1. Goodstein L, Chang K. Issuing rebuke, judge rejects teaching of Intelligent Design. New York Times 2005 Dec 21: A1.
- 2. Powell M. Judge rules against "Intelligent Design." Washington Post 2005 Dec

25: A3.

- 3. Crowther R. Intelligent Design (letter). New York Times 2005 Dec 23.
- 4. Polkinghorne J. Intelligent Design, Creationism and its critics. Philosophical, Theological and scientific perspectives (review). J Theol Studies, Apr 2003; 54: 460–61.

Gerald Weissmann, MD (AΩA, *New York University*, 1965)



The Pharos/Spring 2007 69